arXiv:1203.1594v1 [math-ph] 7 Mar 2012

ICMPA-MPA/2012

Twisted Yang-Mills field theory: connections and Noether current

Mahouton Norbert Hounkonnou[1,†] and Dine Ousmane Samary[1,*]

[1] *University of Abomey-Calavi,*

International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications

(ICMPA–UNESCO Chair), 072 B.P. 50 Cotonou, Republic of Benin

E-mails: [†]norbert.hounkonnou@cipma.uac.bj,

[*]ousmanesamarydine@yahoo.fr.

Abstract

Main properties of noncommutative (NC) gauge theory are investigated in a $2-$dimensional twisted Moyal plane, generated by vector fields $X_a = e_a^\mu(x)\partial_\mu$; the dynamical effects are induced by a non trivial tensor $e_a^\mu(x)$. Connections in such a NC space are defined. Symmetry analysis is performed and related NC action is proved to be invariant under defined NC gauge transformations. A locally conserved Noether current is explicitly computed. Both commuting and noncommutative vector fields X_a are considered.

Keywords Twisted Moyal plane, Yang-Mills theory, gauge current.

PACS numbers 02.40.Gh, 11.10.Nx.

1 Introduction

The construction of noncommutative field theories in a nontrivial background metric generally implies a non-constant deformation matrix $\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} = \widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}(x)$. There naturally results the difficulty of finding a suitable explicit closed Moyal type formula and consequently, defining a noncommutative product becomes rather complicated.The situation is simpler when one deals with the Moyal space $\mathbb{R}^D_\Theta$, i.e. the deformed $D-$dimensional space endowed with a constant Moyal $\star-$bracket of coordinate functions $[x^\mu, x^\nu]_\star = i\Theta^{\mu\nu}$. In this case the star product (see [1]-[17] and reference therein) is defined by

$$(f \star g)(x) = m\Big\{e^{i\frac{\Theta^{\mu\nu}}{2}\partial_\mu\otimes\partial_\nu} f(x)\otimes g(x)\Big\} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^D_\Theta \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^D_\Theta) \tag{1}$$

m is the ordinary multiplication of functions, i.e. $m(f\otimes g) = f.g$. In the coordinate basis, this space is generated by the usual commuting vector field $\partial_\mu =: \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \in T_x\mathbb{R}^D_\Theta$, the tangent space of $\mathbb{R}^D_\Theta$, conferring to Moyal space the properties of a flat space.

On the contrary, in the context of a dynamical noncommutative field theory, the vector field can be generalized to take the form $X_a = e^\mu_a(x)\partial_\mu$, where $e^\mu_a(x)$ is a tensor depending on the coordinate functions in the complex general linear matrix group of order D, $\mathrm{GL}(D,\mathbb{C})$. The star product then takes the form

$$(f \star g)(x) = m\Big\{e^{i\frac{\Theta^{ab}}{2}X_a\otimes X_b} f(x)\otimes g(x)\Big\} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^D_{\tilde\Theta} \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^D_{\tilde\Theta}) \tag{2}$$

and the vielbeins are given by the infinitesimal affine transformation as

$$e^\mu_a(x) = \delta^\mu_a + \omega^\mu_{ab}x^b, \tag{3}$$

where $\omega_{ab} \in \mathrm{GL}(D,\mathbb{C})$. Using (3), the non vanishing Lie bracket peculiar to the non-coordinate base [7]

$$[X_a, X_b] = e^c_\nu\Big[e^\mu_a\partial_\mu e^\nu_b - e^\mu_b\partial_\mu e^\nu_a\Big]X_c = C^c_{ab}X_c \tag{4}$$

is here simply reduced to

$$[X_a, X_b] = \omega^\mu_{ba}\partial_\mu - \omega^\mu_{ab}\partial_\mu = -2\omega^\mu_{ab}\partial_\mu. \tag{5}$$

Besides, the dynamical star product (2) can be now expressed as

$$(f\star g)(x) = m\Big[\exp\Big(\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\otimes\partial_\nu\Big)(f\otimes g)(x)\Big] \tag{6}$$

where $e^{-1} =: det(e^\mu_a) = 1 + \omega^1_{12}x^2 - \omega^2_{12}x^1$; $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is the symplectic tensor in two dimensions, $(D = 2)$, i.e $\epsilon^{12} = -\epsilon^{21} = 1$, $\epsilon^{11} = \epsilon^{22} = 0$.

The coordinate function commutation relation becomes $[x^\mu, x^\nu]_\star = i\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} = i(\Theta^{\mu\nu} - \Theta^{a[\mu}\omega^{\nu]}_{ab}x^b)$ which can be reduced to the usual Moyal space relation, as expected, by setting $\omega^\mu_{ab} = [0]$. One can check that the Jacobi identity is also well satisfied, i.e.

$$[x^\mu, [x^\nu, x^\rho]_\star]_\star + [x^\rho, [x^\mu, x^\nu]_\star]_\star + [x^\nu, [x^\rho, x^\mu]_\star]_\star = \Theta^{b\mu}\Theta^{d[\nu}\omega^{\rho]}_{bd} = 0 \tag{7}$$

conferring a Lie algebra structure to the defined twisted Moyal space. This identity ensures the associativity of the star-product (2) and implies that

$$\widetilde{\Theta}^{\sigma\rho}\partial_\rho\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} + \widetilde{\Theta}^{\nu\rho}\partial_\rho\widetilde{\Theta}^{\sigma\mu} + \widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\rho}\partial_\rho\widetilde{\Theta}^{\nu\sigma} = 0. \tag{8}$$

Remark that with the relation (5), the requirement that ω_{ab} is a symmetric tensor trivially ensures the associativity of the star product. In the interesting particular case addressed in this work, the associativity of the star product (2) can be shown even for the non symmetric tensor ω_{ab}. See appendix for details.

From the particular condition $[X_a, X_b] = 0$, (i. e. the vector fields are commuting), there result constraints on e^μ_a, namely $e^\mu_{[a}\partial_\mu e^\nu_{b]} = 0$, that can be solved off-shell in terms of D scalar fields ϕ^a. Supposing that the square matrix e^μ_a has an inverse e^a_μ everywhere so that the X_a are linearly independent, then the above condition becomes $\partial_{[\mu}e^a_{\nu]} = 0$ which is satisfied by $e^a_\nu = \partial_\nu\phi^a$. Since $X_a\phi^b = \delta^b_a$, the field ϕ^b can be viewed as new coordinates along the X_a directions. The metric g on $\mathbb{R}^D_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ can be chosen to be $\mathrm{g}(X_a, X_b) = e^\mu_a e^\nu_b \mathrm{g}_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{ab}$. See [3]-[6] for more details. In the whole work, we deal with Euclidean signature and $D = 2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide the general properties of gauge theory in twisted Moyal space, and define related connections. The tensor ω^μ_{ab} is an infinitesimal tensor, skew-symmetric in the indexes a and b. In Section 3, we study the symmetry of pure gauge theory and show that the related NC action is invariant under $U_\star(1)$ gauge transformation. Besides, we compute the resulting Noether current. In Section 4, we investigate the properties of the model for commuting vector fields X_a. Section 5 is devoted to concluding remarks.

2 Connections and gauge transformation

Consider $E = \{x^\mu, \mu \in [[1, 2]]\}$ and $\mathbb{C}[[x^1, x^2]]$, the free algebra generated by E. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[[x^1, x^2]]$, engendered by the elements $x^\mu \star x^\nu - x^\nu \star x^\mu - i\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}$. The twisted Moyal

Algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ is the quotient $\mathbb{C}[[x^1, x^2]]/\mathcal{I}$. Each element in $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ is a formal power series in the x^μ's for which the relation $[x^\mu, x^\nu]_\star = i\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}$ holds. Moyal algebra can be here also defined as the linear space of smooth and rapidly decreasing functions equipped with the NC star product given in (2). The gauge symmetries on this noncommutative space can be realized in their enveloping algebra. However, there is an isomorphism mapping the noncommutative functions algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ into the commutative one, equipped with an additional noncommutative $\star$−product [1]. We consider the following infinitesimal affine transformation

$$e^\mu_a(x) = \delta^\mu_a + \omega^\mu_{ab}x^b, \quad \omega^\mu_{ab} =: -\omega^\mu_{ba}, \text{ and } |\omega^\mu| << 1. \tag{9}$$

For $D = 2$, e^μ_a and Θ^{ab} can be expressed as follows:

$$(e)^\mu_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \omega^1_{12}x^2 & \omega^2_{12}x^2 \\ -\omega^1_{12}x^1 & 1 - \omega^2_{12}x^1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Theta)^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ -\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \theta(\epsilon)^{ab} \tag{10}$$

where $\epsilon^{12} = -\epsilon^{21} = 1,\ \epsilon^{11} = \epsilon^{22} = 0$. There follow the relations

$$e^{-1} =: det(e^\mu_a) = 1 + \omega^1_{12}x^2 - \omega^2_{12}x^1 \tag{11}$$

$$e =: det(e^a_\mu) = 1 - \omega^1_{12}x^2 + \omega^2_{12}x^1. \tag{12}$$

The tensor $\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}$ can be written as [7]

$$(\widetilde{\Theta})^{\mu\nu} = (\Theta)^{\mu\nu} - (\Theta^{a[\mu}\omega^{\nu]}_{ab})x^b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta e^{-1} \\ -\theta e^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

Let us now define the space-time ($M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2_{\tilde{\Theta}}$) metric as

$$\mathrm{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^a_\mu e^b_\nu \delta_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\omega^1_{12}x^2 & \omega^1_{12}x^1 - \omega^2_{12}x^2 \\ \omega^1_{12}x^1 - \omega^2_{12}x^2 & 1 + 2\omega^2_{12}x^1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

$$\text{where } \ e^a_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \omega^1_{12}x^2 & -\omega^2_{12}x^2 \\ \omega^1_{12}x^1 & 1 + \omega^2_{12}x^1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

and its inverse as

$$\mathrm{g}^{\mu\nu} = e^\mu_a e^\nu_b \delta^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\omega^1_{12}x^2 & \omega^2_{12}x^2 - \omega^1_{12}x^1 \\ \omega^2_{12}x^2 - \omega^1_{12}x^1 & 1 - 2\omega^2_{12}x^1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

$$\text{where } \ e^\mu_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \omega^1_{12}x^2 & \omega^2_{12}x^2 \\ -\omega^1_{12}x^1 & 1 - \omega^2_{12}x^1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

with $\mathrm{g} = -det(\mathrm{g}_{\mu\nu})$. Noncommutative field theory over Moyal algebra of functions can be defined as field theories over module $\mathcal{H}$ on the noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ or as matrix theories with coefficients in $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$. In the following, we restrict the study of field modules to rank trivial bimodules $\mathcal{H}$ over $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ with a Hilbert space structure defined by the scalar product

$$< a, b >=: \int ed^2x\ Tr(a^\dagger \star b) \star e^{-1};\ \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}. \tag{18}$$

Provided this framework, the notion of connection defined on vector bundles in ordinary differential geometry is replaced, in NC geometry, by the generalized concept of connection on the projective modules as follows.

Definition 2.1 *The sesquilinear maps* $\nabla_\mu : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ *are called connections if they satisfy the differentiation chain rule*

$$\nabla_\mu(m \star f) = m \star \partial_\mu(f) + \nabla_\mu(m) \star f, \quad \textit{for } f \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}} \textit{ and } m \in \mathcal{H} \tag{19}$$

(assumed here to be a right module over $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$*), and if they are compatible with the Hermitian structure of* $\mathcal{H}$ *defined as* $\mathrm{h}(f, g) = f^\dagger \star g$*, i.e.*

$$\partial_\mu \mathrm{h}(m_1, m_2) = \mathrm{h}(\nabla_\mu m_1, m_2) + \mathrm{h}(m_1, \nabla_\mu m_2). \tag{20}$$

In the sequel, we can identify $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ with $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.2 *Denoting by* $\mathbf{1}$ *the unit element of* $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$*, we define the gauge potential by* $\nabla_\mu \mathbf{1} = -iA_\mu$*. Then the connection can be explicitly written as*

$$\nabla_\mu(.) = \partial_\mu(.) - iA_\mu \star (.) \tag{21}$$

A_μ *is called the gauge potential in the fundamental representation.*

Note that the left module can be used to define the connection in the anti-fundamental representation by $\nabla_\mu(.) = \partial_\mu(.) + i(.) \star A_\mu$. In the same vein, the module can be used to define the connection on the adjoint representation by $\nabla_\mu(.) = \partial_\mu(.) - i[A_\mu, (.)]_\star$. Here, we adopt the fundamental representation. Now, we define the gauge transformation as a morphism of module, denoted by γ, satisfying the relation

$$\gamma(m \star f) = \gamma(m) \star f \ \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}} \text{ and } m \in \mathcal{H} \tag{22}$$

and preserving the Hermitian structure h, i.e.

$$\mathrm{h}(\gamma(f), \gamma(g)) = \mathrm{h}(f, g) \quad \text{for } f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}. \tag{23}$$

For $f = g = 1$, one can prove that $\gamma(1) \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}})$, the group of unitary elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$, i.e. $\gamma^{\dagger}(1) \star \gamma(1) = 1$.

Note that the Jacobi identity is covariantly written in the form

$$\widetilde{\Theta}^{\sigma\rho}\nabla_{\rho}\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} + \widetilde{\Theta}^{\nu\rho}\nabla_{\rho}\widetilde{\Theta}^{\sigma\mu} + \widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\rho}\nabla_{\rho}\widetilde{\Theta}^{\nu\sigma} = 0. \tag{24}$$

This equation is evidently satisfied whenever the following condition holds

$$\nabla_{\rho}\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{25}$$

which is very simple to handle in two-dimensional space. Indeed, in $D = 2$ the most general $\widetilde{\Theta}$ can be written in the form

$$\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{-\mathrm{g}(x)}}\theta(x^1, x^2), \tag{26}$$

where $\theta(x^1, x^2)$ is a constant, simply denoted by θ. Then

$$e^{-1} = 1/\sqrt{-\mathrm{g}(x)} \quad \text{or} \quad e = \sqrt{-\mathrm{g}(x)}. \tag{27}$$

To end this section, let us mention that the integral $\int d^D x\, f \star g$, defined with the dynamical Moyal $\star-$product (2), is not cyclic, even with suitable boundary conditions at infinity, i.e.

$$\int \mathrm{d}^D x\,(f \star g) \neq \int \mathrm{d}^D x\,(g \star f). \tag{28}$$

Using now the measure $ed^D x$ where $e = det(e^a_\mu)$, a cyclic integral can be defined so that, up to boundary terms:

$$\int e\mathrm{d}^D x\,(f \star g) = \int e\mathrm{d}^D x(fg) = \int e\mathrm{d}^D x\,(g \star f). \tag{29}$$

In flat space $\sqrt{-\mathrm{g}(x)} = 1$.

3 Dynamical pure gauge theory

We consider a field ψ, element of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$, ($\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$), and its infinitesimal gauge variation $\delta\psi$ such that, under an infinitesimal gauge transformation $\alpha(x)$, the relation $\delta_\alpha\psi(x) = i\alpha(x) \star \psi(x)$ is obeyed. The covariant coordinates are defined as

$$X^\mu = x^\mu + A^\mu, \quad A^\mu \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}} \tag{30}$$

A^μ is called the gauge potential and satisfies the relation $\delta_\alpha A^\mu = \tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\rho}\partial_\rho\alpha + i[\alpha, A^\mu]_\star$. One can check that

$$[\alpha(x), \tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\sigma}]_\star = i\Theta^{a[\mu}\omega^{\sigma]}_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\partial_\rho\alpha(x), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Theta}^{-1}_{\mu\sigma}\delta_\alpha\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\sigma} = 2\omega^a_{ac}\delta x^c. \tag{31}$$

From the last two equations and the definition of A_σ such that $A^\mu = \tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\sigma}A_\sigma$, we derive the gauge variation

$$\delta_\alpha A_\sigma = \partial_\sigma\alpha(x) + i[\alpha(x), A_\sigma]_\star + 2\omega^a_{ac}\Big(\Theta^{c\rho}\partial_\rho\alpha(x) - \delta x^c\Big)A_\sigma \tag{32}$$

There result two contributions in the expression of $\delta_\alpha A_\sigma$: the first contribution consisting of the first two terms of the ordinary Moyal product [2], and the second one given by the last term pertaining to the twisted effects of the theory. Of course, when $\omega = 0$, we recover the usual Moyal result.

The NC gauge tensor $T^{\mu\nu} \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Theta}}$ is defined by $T^{\mu\nu} = [X^\mu, X^\nu]_\star - i\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}$ and satisfies the properties

$$\delta_\alpha T^{\mu\nu} = i[\alpha(x), T^{\mu\nu}]_\star. \tag{33}$$

It is then convenient to use the relation $T^{\mu\nu} = i\tilde{\Theta}^{\mu\sigma}\tilde{\Theta}^{\nu\lambda}F_{\sigma\lambda}$ to derive the twisted Faraday tensor $F_{\sigma\lambda}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\sigma\lambda} &= \partial_\sigma A_\lambda - \partial_\lambda A_\sigma - i[A_\sigma, A_\lambda]_\star - \Theta^{-1}_{\nu\lambda}\Theta^{a[\nu}\omega^{\lambda]}_{a\sigma}A_\lambda + \Theta^{-1}_{\mu\sigma}\Theta^{a[\mu}\omega^{\sigma]}_{a\lambda}A_\sigma \\ &\quad -\Big(\Theta^{-1}_{\mu\sigma}\Theta^{a[\mu}\omega^{\sigma]}_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\partial_\rho A_\lambda\Big)A_\sigma + \Big(\Theta^{-1}_{\nu\lambda}\Theta^{a[\nu}\omega^{\lambda]}_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\partial_\rho A_\sigma\Big)A_\lambda \qquad (34) \\ &= \partial_\sigma A_\lambda - \partial_\lambda A_\sigma - i[A_\sigma, A_\lambda]_\star + 2\omega^a_{a[\sigma}A_{\lambda]} - 2\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}(\partial_\rho A_{[\sigma})A_{\lambda]}. \qquad (35) \end{aligned}$$

Canceling the "*twisted*" contributions involved in the last two terms on the right hand side of this relations, we turn back to usual Moyal product result.

We then arrive at the expression of the dynamical NC pure gauge action defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\int ed^2x\ \Big(F_{\mu\nu} \star F^{\mu\nu} \star e^{-1}\Big) \tag{36}$$

where $e =: det(e^a_\mu)$.

Now, expanding the dynamical $\star$-product (2) of two functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f \star g &= fg + \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{ab}X_a f X_b g \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2!}\Big(\frac{i}{2}\Big)^2\Theta^{a_1b_1}\Theta^{a_2b_2}(X_{a_1}X_{a_2}f)(X_{b_1}X_{b_2}g) + \cdots \\ &\equiv e^\Delta(f, g) \qquad (37) \end{aligned}$$

where powers of the bilinear operator Δ are defined as

$$\Delta(f,g) = \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{ab}(X_a f)(X_b g) \qquad \Delta^0(f,g) = fg$$
$$\Delta^n(f,g) = \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n \Theta^{a_1b_1}\cdots\Theta^{a_nb_n}(X_{a_1}\cdots X_{a_n}f)(X_{b_1}\cdots X_{b_n}g) \tag{38}$$

one can deduce the following rules (straightforwardly generalizing the usual Moyal product identities):

$$f\star g = fg + X_a T(\Delta)(f,\widetilde{X}^a g) \tag{39}$$
$$[f,g]_\star = f\star g - g\star f = 2X_a S(\Delta)(f,\widetilde{X}^a g) \tag{40}$$
$$\{f,g\}_\star = f\star g + g\star f = 2fg + 2X_a R(\Delta)(f,\widetilde{X}^a g) \tag{41}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} T(\Delta) &= \frac{e^\Delta - 1}{\Delta} \qquad S(\Delta) = \frac{sinh(\Delta)}{\Delta} \\ R(\Delta) &= \frac{cosh(\Delta)-1}{\Delta} \text{ and } \widetilde{X}^a = \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{ab}X_b. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

$S(\Delta)(.,\widetilde{X}.)$ is a bilinear antisymmetric operator and $T(\Delta)(f,\widetilde{X}^a g) - T(\Delta)(g,\widetilde{X}^a f) = 2S(\Delta)(f,\widetilde{X}^a g)$.

Define also the gauge transformation U by

$$\begin{aligned} U &= e_\star^{i\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{i^k(\alpha)_\star^k}{k!} \\ &= 1 + i\alpha + (i^2/2!)\alpha\star\alpha + (i^3/3!)\alpha\star\alpha\star\alpha + \cdots\ ;\ \ \alpha\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$U_\star(1)$ is NC gauge group generated by elements $U\in U_\star(1)$. The infinitesimal gauge transformation $U = 1 + i\alpha(x)$ defined in the noncommutative Moyal space is the same as the ordinary infinitesimal gauge transformation in commutative space. Making the gauge transformation of tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ into $F^U_{\mu\nu} = U\star F_{\mu\nu}\star U^\dagger$, then the transformed gauge action yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^U_{YM} &= -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\int ed^2x\,\left(U\star F_{\mu\nu}\star U^\dagger\star U\star F^{\mu\nu}\star U^\dagger\star e^{-1}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\int ed^2x\,\left(U\star F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu}\star U^\dagger\star e^{-1}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\int ed^2x\,\Big(F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu}\star U^\dagger\star e^{-1}\star U \\ &\qquad +2S(\Delta)(U,\widetilde{X}^a(F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu}\star U^\dagger\star e^{-1}))\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Proposition 3.1 *Provided the stubborn requirement that the surface terms be vanished, the action (36) is invariant under the global gauge transformation, i.e. setting* $\alpha = \alpha_0 = c^{ste}$ *in (43).*

Proof: From the infinitesimal gauge transformation $U(\alpha) = 1 + i\alpha(x)$, its conjugate given by $U^\dagger(\alpha) = 1 - i\alpha(x)$ and the definition $e^{-1} := 1 + \omega_\mu x^\mu$, where $\omega_1 = \omega_{12}^1$ and $\omega_2 = -\omega_{12}^2$, we have

$$U^\dagger \star e^{-1} = e^{-1}(1 - i\alpha(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\alpha(x)\omega_\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow U^\dagger \star e^{-1} \star U \quad = \quad e^{-1} + \widetilde{\Theta}^{\mu\nu}\omega_\nu\partial_\mu\alpha(x) = e^{-1} + \Theta^{\mu\nu}\omega_\nu\partial_\mu\alpha(x).$$

$$U^\dagger \star e^{-1} \star U = e^{-1} \Rightarrow \Theta^{\mu\nu}\omega_\nu\partial_\mu\alpha(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha(x) = \alpha_0 = c^{ste} \quad \square$$

Furthermore, the following statement is true.

Proposition 3.2 *Provided the same requirement of vanishing condition of the surface terms, (i) the action (36) is invariant under the noncommutative group of unitary transformations* $U_\star(1)$ *defined by the parameter* $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \epsilon_\sigma x^\sigma$, *where* ϵ_σ *is an infinitesimal parameter and* α_0 *an arbitrary constant, and (ii) there exists an isomorphism between the NC gauge group induced by (32) and* $U_\star(1)$ *group.*

Proof: The part (i) is immediate from the previous proof. (ii) Imposing the condition $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \epsilon_\lambda x^\lambda$, the NC gauge transformation (32) is reduced to the form:

$$\delta_\alpha A_\sigma = \partial_\sigma\alpha - \epsilon_\mu\Theta^{\mu\rho}\partial_\rho A_\sigma = \partial_\rho\Big(\delta_\sigma^\rho\frac{\alpha}{2} - \epsilon_\mu\Theta^{\mu\rho}A_\sigma\Big) = \partial\Lambda \tag{45}$$

giving rise to the isomorphism

$$f : \partial\Lambda \to e_\star^{i\Lambda} = 1 + i\Big(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \epsilon_\mu\Theta^{\mu\rho}A_\sigma\Big) \tag{46}$$

mapping the NC gauge group (32) into the $U_\star(1)$ group. $\square$

Therefore, the $U_\star(1)$ group can be considered as the invariance NC gauge group for the Yang-Mills action defined in (36). Note that setting $\epsilon_\mu = 0$ we recover the global gauge transformation of the usual gauge field theory.

The A_μ variation of the action (36) is given by

$$\delta_A\mathcal{S}_{YM} \quad = \quad -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\int d^2x\ (\delta A_\beta\mathcal{E}_A + \partial_\beta J^\beta) \tag{47}$$

where the equation of motion of the field A is provided by

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{YM}}{\delta A_\beta} = \mathcal{E}_A \quad = \quad -\partial_\mu(e\{F^{\mu\beta}, e^{-1}\}_\star) + \partial_\nu(e\{F^{\beta\nu}, e^{-1}\}_\star)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-ie[A_\nu,\{F^{\beta\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star]_\star+ie[A_\mu,\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star]_\star\\
&-2\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\Big(\partial_\rho(-eA_\nu\{F^{\beta\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star+eA_\mu\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star)\Big)\\
&-2e\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\Big(\partial_\rho A_\mu\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star-\partial_\rho A_\nu\{F^{\beta\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star\Big)\\
&+2e\Big(\omega^a_{a\mu}\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star-\omega^a_{a\nu}\{F^{\beta\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star\Big)=0
\end{aligned}
\tag{48}
$$

and the current J^β by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^\beta \;=\;& -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}\Big[e\delta A_\nu\{F^{\beta\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star-e\delta A_\mu\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star\\
&-iee^\beta_a\Big(T(\Delta)(\delta A_{[\mu},\widetilde{X}^a[A_{\nu]},\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star]_\star)\\
&+T(\Delta)([\delta A_{[\mu},A_{\nu]}]_\star,\widetilde{X}^a\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star)\\
&+2S(\Delta)(A_{[\mu},\widetilde{X}^a(\delta A_{\nu]}\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star))\Big)\\
&-2\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\beta}e\delta A_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star\\
&+ee^\beta_a\Big(T(\Delta)(\delta F^{\mu\nu},\widetilde{X}^a\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star)\\
&+2S(\Delta)(F^{\mu\nu},\widetilde{X}^a\delta F^{\mu\nu}\star e^{-1})\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{49}
$$

where $[\delta A_{[\mu},A_{\nu]}]_\star=\delta A_\mu\star A_\nu-A_\nu\star\delta A_\mu-\delta A_\nu\star A_\mu+A_\mu\star\delta A_\nu$. Using the property that $F^{\mu\nu}=-F^{\nu\mu}$ and the fact that the surface terms are canceled, the equation of motion $\mathcal{E}_A=0$ and the current J^β can be re-expressed, respectively, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{YM}}{\delta A_\beta}=\mathcal{E}_A \;=\;& -2\partial_\mu(e\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star)-4\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\partial_\rho(eA_\mu\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star)\\
&-4e\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\rho}\partial_\rho A_\mu\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star+4e\omega^a_{a\mu}\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star=0
\end{aligned}
\tag{50}
$$

and

$$
J^\beta=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\Big(e\delta A_\mu\{F^{\mu\beta},e^{-1}\}_\star+\omega^a_{ac}\Theta^{c\beta}e\delta A_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star\Big).
\tag{51}
$$

Let us now deal with the symmetry analysis and deduce the conserved currents. Performing the following functional variation of fields and coordinate transformation

$$
A'_\mu(x)=A_\mu(x)+\delta A_\mu(x),\qquad x'^\mu=x^\mu+\epsilon^\mu,\quad \epsilon^\mu=\delta x^\mu=0
\tag{52}
$$

and using $\mathrm{d}^2x'=[1+\partial_\mu\epsilon^\mu+\mathbf{O}(\epsilon^2)]\mathrm{d}^2x=\mathrm{d}^2x$ lead to the following variation of the action, to first order in $\delta A_\mu(x)$ and $\delta\phi^c(x)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{S}_{YM} \;=\;& \int e\mathrm{d}^2x\Big\{\Big|\frac{\partial x'}{\partial x}\Big|\star(\mathcal{L'}_{YM}\star e^{-1})\Big\}-\int e\mathrm{d}^2x\,(\mathcal{L}_{YM}\star e^{-1})\\
=\;& \int \mathrm{d}^2x\;\delta\Big((\mathcal{L}_{YM}\star e^{-1})e\Big)=\int\mathrm{d}^2x\,\Big\{\delta_{A_\mu}\Big((\mathcal{L}_{YM}\star e^{-1})e\Big)\Big\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2} F^{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}'_{YM} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa^2} F_U^{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu}^U. \tag{54}$$

On shell, and integrated on a submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with fields non vanishing at the boundary (so that the total derivative terms do not disappear), we get:

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_{YM} = \int_M \mathrm{d}^2x \, \partial_\sigma \mathcal{J}^\sigma = 0. \tag{55}$$

Proposition 3.3 *The noncommutative Noether current $\mathcal{J}^\sigma$ is locally conserved.*

Proof: The analysis of the local properties of this tensor requires the useful formulas

$$\delta_\alpha A_\mu = \epsilon_\mu(1 + \Theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho A_\sigma), \;\; \omega^a_{ac} = -\omega_c, \;\; \partial_\beta e = -\omega_\beta, \;\; \{F^{\mu\nu}, e^{-1}\}_\star = 2e^{-1}F^{\mu\nu}. \tag{56}$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$J^\beta = \frac{\epsilon_\mu}{\kappa^2}\Big(1 + \Theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho A_\sigma\Big)F^{\mu\beta} \Rightarrow \partial_\beta J^\beta = \frac{\epsilon_\mu}{\kappa^2}\Big(1 + \Theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho A_\sigma\Big)\partial_\beta F^{\mu\beta}. \tag{57}$$

The equation of motion (50) can be simply re-expressed in the form

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\beta} = 2\omega_\mu F^{\mu\beta} - 4\omega_c \Theta^{c\rho}(\partial_\rho A_\mu)F^{\mu\beta} - 2\omega_c\Theta^{c\rho}A_\mu\partial_\rho F^{\mu\beta}. \tag{58}$$

Now using the fact that $\epsilon\omega = 0$ yields the result. $\square$

Remark 3.1 .

- *The equation of motion (58) is reduced to $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\beta} = 0$ in ordinary Moyal plane.*
- *The action of gauge theory covariantly coupled with the matter fields defined by*

  $$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{YM} + \mathcal{S}_M \tag{59}$$

  where

  $$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_M \;\; = \;\; & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ed^2x\Big[\bar\psi(x)\Big(-i\Gamma^\mu\nabla_\mu + m\Big)\psi(x) + \lambda_1(\bar\psi \star \psi \star \bar\psi \star \psi)(x) \\ & + \lambda_2(\bar\psi \star \bar\psi \star \psi \star \psi)(x)\Big] \star e^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

  is also invariant under global gauge transformation ($\delta\psi = i\alpha_0\psi$, $\delta\bar\psi = -i\alpha_0\bar\psi$). The current can be also easily deduced in the same manner as above.

4 Case of commuting vector fields

Consider the non coordinates base $e^{\mu}_{a}=\delta^{\mu}_{a}+\omega^{\mu}_{ab}x^{b}$ and the symmetric tensor (between the index a and b) ω^{μ}_{ab}. Then, the twisted star product is naturally associative since

$$[X_a,X_b]=\omega^{\mu}_{ba}\partial_\mu-\omega^{\mu}_{ab}\partial_\mu=0. \tag{61}$$

The matrix representation of e^{μ}_{a} is given by

$$(e)^{\mu}_{a}=\begin{pmatrix} 1+\omega^1_{11}x^1+\omega^1_{12}x^2 & \omega^2_{11}x^1+\omega^2_{12}x^2 \\ \omega^1_{12}x^1+\omega^1_{22}x^2 & 1+\omega^2_{12}x^1+\omega^2_{22}x^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{62}$$

and

$$(e)^{a}_{\mu}=\begin{pmatrix} 1-\omega^1_{11}x^1-\omega^1_{12}x^2 & -\omega^2_{11}x^1-\omega^2_{12}x^2 \\ -\omega^1_{12}x^1-\omega^1_{22}x^2 & 1-\omega^2_{12}x^1-\omega^2_{22}x^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{63}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-1} &= det(e^{\mu}_{a})=1+(\omega^1_{11}+\omega^2_{12})x^1+(\omega^2_{22}+\omega^1_{12})x^2 \\ e &= det(e^{a}_{\mu})=1-(\omega^1_{11}+\omega^2_{12})x^1-(\omega^2_{22}+\omega^1_{12})x^2. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

The noncommutative tensor is provided by $(\widetilde{\Theta})^{\mu\nu}=\theta e^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}$. Besides, the matrix e^{a}_{μ} can be written as $e^{a}_{\mu}=\delta^{a}_{\mu}+\omega^{ab}_{\mu}x_b$, where $\omega^{ab}_{\mu}=-\omega^{\mu}_{ab}$. Finally, the solution of the field equation $e^{a}_{\mu}=\partial_\mu\phi^a$ is well given by $\phi^a=x^a+\frac{1}{2}\omega^{ab}_{\mu}x_b\,x^\nu\delta^\mu_\nu$ as deduced in [7]. The ϕ^c variation of the action can be easily computed and the resulting equation of motion is

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{YM}}{\delta\phi^c}=\mathcal{E}_{\phi^c,A}=e^{-1}X_a(F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu})-(X_aF^{\mu\nu})\{F_{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star=0 \tag{65}$$

This variation generates the current

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}^\beta &= -\frac{ee^\beta_b}{4\kappa^2}\Big[(-F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu}\star\delta\phi^b e^{-1})+T(\Delta)\Big(X_a(F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu}),\widetilde{X}^b(\delta\phi^a e^{-1})\Big) \\ &\quad -T(\Delta)\Big(\delta\phi^a(X_aF_{\mu\nu}),\widetilde{X}^b\{F^{\mu\nu},e^{-1}\}_\star\Big)+\delta\phi^b(F_{\mu\nu}\star F^{\mu\nu}\star e^{-1})\Big] \\ &\quad +2S(\Delta)\Big(\delta\phi^a(X_aF_{\mu\nu})\star e^{-1},\widetilde{X}^bF^{\mu\nu}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Performing the transformation $\phi'^c(x)=\phi^c(x)+\delta\phi^c(x)$ where $\delta\phi^c(x)=i\alpha\star\phi^c(x)$, with $\alpha=\alpha_0$ or $\alpha=\alpha_0+\epsilon_\mu x^\mu$, the variation of the action yields the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{S}_{YM} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x\ \delta\Big((\mathcal{L}_{YM}\star e^{-1})e\Big) \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^2x\ \Big\{\delta_{A_\mu}\Big((\mathcal{L}_{YM}\star e^{-1})e\Big)+\delta_{\phi^c}\Big((\mathcal{L}_{YM}\star e^{-1})e\Big)\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_M \mathrm{d}^2x\,\partial_\sigma\Big(\mathcal{J}^\sigma + \mathcal{K}^\sigma\Big) = 0 \tag{67}$$

Then $\mathcal{J}^\sigma$ can be computed in the same way as for symmetric ω^μ_{ab}. See relation (49). The gauge invariance of the YM action furnishes the current $\mathcal{J}'^\sigma = \mathcal{J}^\sigma + \mathcal{K}^\sigma$. Under vanishing condition of the surface terms, $\mathcal{J}'^\sigma$ is locally conserved on shell.

5 Concluding remarks

In this work, we have defined the twisted connections in noncommutative spaces and discussed NC gauge transformations. Then, the YM action, twisted in the dynamical Moyal space, has been proved to be invariant under $U_\star(1)$ gauge transformation with the parameter $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \epsilon_\mu x^\mu$, where ϵ_μ is an infinitesimal parameter and α_0 a constant. The gauge action is defined in 2 dimensional Moyal space with signature $(1,1)$. The NC gauge invariant currents are explicitly computed. These currents are locally conserved.

Finally, it is worthy mentioning that the approach developed here can be extended to investigate twisted gauge theory in finite $D-$dimensional Moyal space. The only technical difficulty resides in the fact that the choice of ω could not be arbitrarily made. For this reason, the canonical form of e^μ_a given by $\delta^\mu_a + \omega^\mu_{ab}x^b$ seems to be natural. The trivial case $\omega = 0$ corresponds to NC YM theory, well known in the literature.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the ICTP through the OEA-ICMPA-Prj-15. The ICMPA is in partnership with the Daniel Iagolnitzer foundation (DIF), France. The authors thank the referees and adjudicator for their useful comments.

Appendix

Notwithstanding the condition $[X_a, X_b] \neq 0$, i.e. ω^μ_{ab} is skew-symmetric, the twisted $\star-$product defined in (2) remains noncommutative and associative. Indeed, using the twisted star-product

$$(f \star g)(x) = m\Big[\exp\Big(\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \otimes \partial_\nu\Big)(f \otimes g)(x)\Big], \tag{68}$$

one can see that

$$e^{ikx}\star e^{iqx}=e^{i(k+q)x}e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}k\epsilon q}. \tag{69}$$

The Fourier transform of $f,g\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2_{\tilde{\Theta}})$ can be written as

$$\tilde{f}(k)=\int d^2x\ e^{-ikx}f(x),\quad \tilde{g}(q)=\int d^2x\ e^{-iqx}g(x) \tag{70}$$

with the function inverse transform given by

$$f(x)=\int d^2k\ e^{ikx}\tilde{f}(k),\quad g(x)=\int d^2q\ e^{iqx}\tilde{g}(q). \tag{71}$$

We can redefine the twisted star-product of two Schwartz functions f,g as:

$$\begin{aligned}(f\star g)(x) &= \int d^2kd^2q\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)e^{ikx}\star e^{iqx}\\ &= \int d^2kd^2q\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)e^{i(k+q)x}e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}k\epsilon q}.\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((f\star g)\star h\Big)(x) &= \Big[\int d^2kd^2q\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}k\epsilon q}e^{i(k+q)x}\Big]\star\Big[\int d^2p\ e^{ipx}\tilde{h}(p)\Big]\\ &= \int d^2kd^2qd^2p\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)\tilde{h}(p)\Big(e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}k\epsilon q}e^{i(k+q)x}\Big)\star e^{ipx}\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Recalling that $e^{-1}=1+\omega_\mu x^\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((f\star g)\star h\Big)(x) &= \int d^2kd^2qd^2p\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)\tilde{h}(p)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\Big(\theta k\epsilon q-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\omega(k\epsilon q)\epsilon p\Big)}e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}(k+q)\epsilon p}\\ &\times\ e^{i\Big(k+q+p-\frac{1}{2}\theta\omega k\epsilon q\Big)x}\\ &= \int d^2kd^2qd^2p\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)\tilde{h}(p)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\Big(\theta k\epsilon q+\theta(k+q)\epsilon p-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\omega(k\epsilon q)\epsilon p\Big)}\\ &\times\ e^{i\Big(k+q+p-\frac{1}{2}\theta\omega(k+q)\epsilon p-\frac{1}{2}\theta\omega k\epsilon q\Big)x}\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

In the other side,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(f\star(g\star h)\Big)(x) &= \int d^2kd^2qd^2p\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)\tilde{h}(p)e^{ikx}\star\Big(e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta e^{-1}q\epsilon p}e^{i(q+p)x}\Big)\\ &= \int d^2kd^2qd^2p\ \tilde{f}(k)\tilde{g}(q)\tilde{h}(p)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\Big(\theta q\epsilon p+\theta k\epsilon(q+p)-\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\omega(k\epsilon q)\epsilon p\Big)}\\ &\times\ e^{i\Big(k+q+p-\frac{1}{2}\theta\omega q\epsilon p-\frac{1}{2}\theta\omega k\epsilon(q+p)\Big)x}\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

A straightforward expansion shows that (74) and (75) coincide. There results the conclusion that the used twisted star-product (2) is well associative.

References

[1] Seiberg N, Witten E 1999 String theory and noncommutative geometry, *JHEP* **9909**,032 *[e-print hep-th/9908142]*

[2] Madore J, Schraml S, Schupp P, Wess J 2000 Gauge Theory on Noncommutative Spaces *[e-print hep-th/0001203]*

[3] Aschieri P, Castellani L and Dimitrijevic M 2008 Dynamical Noncommutativity and Noether Theorem in Twisted $\phi^{\star 4}$ Theory *Lett Math Phys. [e-print hep-th/0106048]* **85** 39-53

[4] Aschieri P, Dimitrijevic M, Meyer F and Wess J 2006 Noncommutative geometry and gravity *class. Quant. Grav.***23** *[e-print hep-th/0510059]*

[5] Douglas M R and Nekrasov N A 2001 Noncommutative Field Theory *Rev Mod Phys.* **73** 977-1029

Szabo R J 2003 Quantum Field Theory on Noncommutative Spaces *Phys Rept.* **378** 207-299

[6] Hounkonnou, M.N. and Ousmane Samary, D.: 2010 Twisted Grosse-Wulkenhaar $\phi^{\star 4}$ model: dynamical noncommutativity and Noether currents, *J. Phys. A: Math. and Theor.***43** 155202 *[e-print math-ph/0909.4562]*

[7] Hounkonnou, M.N. and Ousmane Samary, D.: 2010 Harmonic oscillator in twisted Moyal plane: eigenvalue problem and relevant properties, *J. Math. Phys.***51** 102108 *[e-print math-ph/1008.1325]*

[8] Sitarz A, 2002 Dynamical noncommutativity, *JHEP* **0209**, 034 *[e-print math-ph/0206040]*

[9] de Goursac A, Tanasa A and Wallet J C 2008 Vacuum configurations for renormalizable noncommutative scalar models *Eur Phys J C.* **53,459**

[10] de Goursac A, Wallet J C, Wulkenhaar R 2007 Noncommutative induced gauge theory *[e-print hep-th/0703075]*

[11] Das A and Frenkel J 2003 On the Energy Momentum Tensor in Noncommutative Field Theories *Phys Rev D.* **67**

[12] Hounkonnou M N, Massamba F and Ben Geloun J 2005 2-Dimensional Noncommutative Field Theory on the Light Cone *JGSP* **4** 38-46

[13] Chaichian M, Kulish P P Nishijima K and Tureanu A 2004 On a Lorentz-Invariant Interpretation of Noncommutative Space-Time and Its Implications on Noncommutative QFT *Phys Lett B.* **604** 98-102

[14] Gracia-Bondía J M and Várilly J C 1988 Algebras of Distributions Suitable for Phase-Space Quantum Mechanics I *J Math Phys.* **29** 869-879

[15] Abou-Zeid M and Dorn H 2001 Comments on the Energy Momentum Tensor in Noncommutative Field Theories *Phys Lett B.* **514** 193-188

[16] Grimstrup J M, Kloiböck B, Popp L Putz V Schweda M and Wickenhauser M 2004 The Energy Momentum Tensor in Noncommutative Gauge Field Models *Int J Mod Phys A.* **19** 5615-5624

[17] Das A and Frenkel J 2003 On the Energy Momentum Tensor in Noncommutative Field Theories *Phys Rev D.* **67**